know you cannot spend the money here for involuntary sterilization, we know if you spend the money in China we are going to take it away from the United Nations.

This amendment goes too far. I urge my colleagues, particularly those who are of a persuasion that opposes abortion and believe they should oppose it in every circumstance, give women in the poorest countries on Earth the option of voluntary family planning. Do something for these poor women who have been victimized by rape and war. and these young pregnancies that unfortunately cause so much damage to their bodies. Give them a chance to put their lives back together. Also, when it comes to genital mutilation, the United Nations should be in the forefront of promoting modern treatment of women and not leave ourselves in the distant dark past of these tribal customs. I am sure Senator WICKER does not intend for this to happen, but I am afraid that is the result of it.

I urge my colleagues to oppose the Wicker amendment.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that a vote with respect to amendment No. 607, as modified, occur at 12:10—that is the Wicker amendment; that there be 45 minutes of debate with respect to the amendment prior to the vote, equally divided and controlled between the leaders or their designees, that no amendment be in order on the amendment prior to a vote in relation thereto.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

OMNIBUS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2009

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of H.R. 1105, which the clerk will report by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1105) making omnibus appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Wicker modified amendment No. 607, to require that amounts appropriated for the United Nations Population Fund are not used by organizations which support coercive abortion or involuntary sterilization.

Thune modified amendment No. 635, to provide funding for the Emergency Fund for Indian Safety and Health, with an offset.

Murkowski amendment No. 599, to modify a provision relating to the repromulgation of final rules by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce.

Cochran (for Kyl) amendment No. 634, to prohibit the expenditure of amounts made available under this Act in a contract with

any company that has a business presence in Iran's energy sector.

Cochran (for Inhofe) amendment No. 613, to provide that no funds may be made available to make any assessed contribution or voluntary payment of the United States to the United Nations if the United Nations implements or imposes any taxation on any United States persons.

Cochran (for Crapo (and others) amendment No. 638, to strike a provision relating to Federal Trade Commission authority over home mortgages.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Pennsylvania is recognized.

Mr. SPECTER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent I may speak for 10 minutes as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SPECTER. I have sought recognition to comment about the pending bill. As I reflect on it, I am speaking on the bill and do not need to put it in morning business. It is on the bill itself.

I note the majority leader has filed a motion for cloture and it is scheduled for 9:30 tomorrow. We may vote on it today. But whenever we vote on it, there are some observations I have. I want to give my thinking on the issue. My current inclination is to vote against cloture because there has been insufficient time to offer amendments.

This omnibus bill contains most of the budget process and there are a great many amendments pending. I compliment the majority leader for moving from the position of blocking all amendments. We have had considerable discussion last year, and even before that, about a practice of majority leaders taking procedural steps known as-there is an arcane procedure, inside-the-beltway talk—filling the tree, stopping amendments being offered and then moving to cloture. I have opposed cloture and have urged that regular order be followed in allowing amendments to be offered.

The unique feature about the Senate is that any Senator can offer virtually any amendment at virtually any time on virtually any bill. That, plus unlimited debate, makes this a very extraordinary body where we can focus public attention on important matters of public policy and acquaint the public with what is going on and seek to improve our governance.

The majority leader has objected to quite a number of amendments coming up. Looking over the list, there are quite a number of amendments which I believe merit consideration. Senator GRASSLEY has tried to advance amendment No. 628. He did again this morning. There was an objection raised to it.

Senator Sessions has sought to offer amendment No. 604 and he has been blocked on four occasions from offering this amendment on the economic stimulus.

Senator VITTER has a number of amendments, one of which is amendment No. 636, involving drug reimportation from Canada.

Senator Ensign has amendment No. 615, cosponsored by Senator Voinovich, Senator Kyl, Senator DEMINT, Senator Brownback, and Senator Cornyn, which would deal with a subject where they are seeking to have a vote.

I do not necessarily agree with all of these amendments. In fact, as I review them, there are some I disagree with. But I believe Senators ought to have an opportunity to offer amendments.

Yesterday the Senate voted on an issue involving Emmett Till, and many Senators voted against that amendment, as I understand it, to avoid having an amendment agreed to on the omnibus which would require a conference with the House of Representatives. I think it is something we ought to decide on the merits, as to the amendment, without respect to having a conference.

Regular order under our legislative process is to exercise our judgment on amendments. Then, if the Senate bill is different from the House bill, if an amendment is agreed to, then you have a conference. That is the way we do business. That is regular order. To determine how you are going to vote on an amendment in order to avoid a conference seems to me to be beside the point.

If there were some emergency, some reason to avoid a conference, perhaps so. But there is time to have a Senate bill which disagrees with the House bill and to have a conference and iron it out on regular order. Whenever we depart from regular order, it seems to me, we run into potential problems. The institutions of the Senate have been crafted over centuries. The Senate is smarter than I am, certainly, and perhaps smarter than other Senators. But I think we ought to follow the regular order. That is why I am dispinclined to vote for cloture.

I know the majority leader wants to move this bill, but we have time to take up these amendments. If we move on into additional sessions of the Senate later this week, later tonight, later next week, then I think that is what ought to be done and Senators ought to have an opportunity to offer these amendments.

In the absence of any other Senator seeking recognition, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LEAHY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LEAHY. What is the parliamentary situation?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. At 11:25 the Senate will begin 45 minutes of debate on amendment No. 607, and the time will be equally divided.

Mr. LEAHY. Are we still in morning business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. No, the Senate is on the bill.